

MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST

David T. Austern
General Counsel

October 31, 2006

VIA E-FILING

Kathleen Farrell-Willoughby, Esq.
Clerk of the Court
United States Bankruptcy Court
Southern District of New York
One Bowling Green
New York, NY 10004


Re: In re Johns-Manville Corporation, et al. (82-11656)
Corrected Filing of 2006 Third Quarterly Report

Dear Ms. Farrell-Willoughby:

Enclosed is the Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust Third Quarterly Report for the period July 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006.

We apologize for any inconvenience caused by the inadvertent electronic filing of the incorrect report yesterday.

Yours very truly,



David T. Austern
General Counsel

Enclosure

3110 Fairview Park Drive
Suite 200
P.O. Box 12003
Falls Church, Virginia 22042-0683
Phone: 703-204-9300
Fax: 703-205-6249

October 30, 2006

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

Honorable Jack B. Weinstein
Senior Judge, U. S. District Court
Eastern District of New York
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, NY 11201

Honorable Burton R. Lifland
U.S. Bankruptcy Court
Southern District of New York
Alexander Hamilton Custom House
One Bowling Green
New York, NY 10004-1208

Dear Judge Weinstein and Judge Lifland:

Enclosed are chambers' copies of the Financial Statements and Report of the Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust (“the Trust”), for the period ending September 30, 2006, filed pursuant to Sections 3.02(d)(ii) and (iii) of the Trust Agreement, which were electronically filed today with the Clerk of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York.

OPERATIONS

Claims continued to be filed at about half the rate seen in 2005 with larger declines in non-malignant diseases, but substantial decreases in every injury type. During the third quarter of 2006, the Trust received approximately 2,500 new claim filings, of which 55% were for malignant diseases, compared to approximately 4,700 new claims during the same period in 2005, of which 37% were for malignant diseases. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Trust received approximately 8,500 claims, of which over 3,600 (43%) were for malignant diseases, compared to approximately 15,800 claims during the same period in 2005, of almost 4,700 (30%) were for malignant diseases. These trends are probably due to the higher

standards of occupational exposure and medical diagnosis contained in the revised 2002 Trust Distribution Process (TDP) and the consolidating delays caused by the large number of other asbestos-liability induced bankruptcies still pending.

During the third quarter 2006, the Trust settled approximately 3,200 claims for \$13 million compared to approximately 5,500 claims for \$20 million during 2005. The increasing proportion of malignancy claims has resulted in a higher average claim settlement payment and a greater share of claim payments being made to claimants with a malignancy. The average claim payment amount for the third quarter 2006 and 2005 was approximately \$4,100 and \$3,600, respectively, and malignancy claimants received about 91% of all claim payments during the third quarter of 2006 versus 79% during the comparable period in 2005.

On September 30, 2006, the Trust had 3,346 pending offers or denials, 7,973 expired claims, 12,449 claims in process and 669,760 settled claims. When combined with 82,691 withdrawn claims (unsettled claims in which offers or deficiencies lapsed), as of September 30, 2006 the Trust had received 776,219 claims and has made total claim payments of approximately \$3.4 billion, with the value of the Trust's assets remaining about the same as it was when the Trust was created.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

Net operating expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$1.41 million and \$4.24 million, respectively, compared to \$1.45 million and \$4.48 million for the same periods in 2005. Net operating expenses are approximately \$240,000 less for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. Operating expenses are net of other income of \$439,000 for the first nine months of 2006 and \$770,000 for the first nine months of 2005. Other income received by the Claims Resolution Management Corporation ("CRMC") is for providing claim processing and consulting services and licensing Trust data. Other income received by CRMC is used to reduce the overall processing costs of the Trust.

During the first nine months of 2006, Net Claimants' Equity increased by approximately \$46 million. Though the Trust settled approximately \$40.6 million in claims, investment income, net of investment expenses, added over \$105 million in value, before income taxes. The Trust

recorded \$38 million in interest and dividends and over \$70 million in net realized and unrealized gains.


ASSET MANAGEMENT

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, the Trust's total return on investment was approximately 6.5% and 3.9%, respectively. The total return during the same periods on the Trust's equity investments was approximately 8.3% and 5.2%, respectively. By way of comparison, the Russell 3000 index, a broad index of U.S. stocks, returned about 8.0% and 4.0% during the first nine months of 2006 and 2005, respectively. The total return on fixed income investments including cash equivalents was 3.5% in the first nine months of 2006 versus 1.8% in the first nine months of 2005.

As of September 30, 2006, the market value of the Trust's investments, including accrued interest and dividends, was approximately \$1,726 million, of which approximately \$1,129 million (65%) was in diversified equities, \$557 million (32%) in fixed income securities and the remaining \$39 million (3%) in cash equivalents.

Because of the increasing difference between projected and actual claim filings and the continued growth in the Trust Estate, in early 2007 the Trust plans to revisit its proposal to increase the pro rata payment percentage.

Yours very truly,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Robert A. Falise". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looping initial "R".

Robert A. Falise
Chairman and Managing Trustee

Enclosure

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

<hr/>)	In Proceedings For A
In re)	Reorganization Under
JOHNS-MANVILLE CORPORATION,)	Chapter 11
et al.,)	
)	Case Nos. 82 B 11656 (BRL)
Debtors)	Through 82 B 11676 (BRL)
<hr/>)	Inclusive

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND REPORT OF
MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST
FOR THE PERIOD ENDING SEPTEMBER 30, 2006
PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 3.02(d)(ii) and (iii)
OF THE TRUST AGREEMENT


Sections 3.02(d)(ii) and (iii) of the Trust Agreement provide that the Trustees shall prepare and file with the Court within 30 days following the end of each of the first three quarters of each Fiscal Year a quarterly report containing certified financial statements and a summary of certain additional information, including the number of Trust Claims Liquidated and the average amount per Trust Claim paid or payable, the amount of investment income earned by the Trust, and the amount of Trust Expenses incurred by the Trust. The attached Financial Statements for the Period July 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006 and the exhibits thereto are

submitted in satisfaction of the requirements that the Trust file a quarterly report. Exhibits I, II and III of the Financial Statements set forth the specific items of information required by Sections 3.02(d)(iii)(w), (y) and (z) of the Trust Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY
SETTLEMENT TRUST

By:




David T. Austern
General Counsel
Manville Personal Injury
Settlement Trust
3110 Fairview Park Dr. Ste. 200
P.O. Box 12003
Falls Church, Virginia 22031
(703) 205-0835

Dated: October 30, 2006
Falls Church, VA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, David T. Austern, hereby certify that on October 30, 2006, I caused a true and complete copy of the Financial Statements for the Period Ending September 30, 2006 pursuant to Sections 3.02(d)(ii) and (iii) of the Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust Agreement to be served by first class mail, postage prepaid, to the entities named on the service list annexed hereto.


David T. Austern

Professor Lester Brickman
BENJAMIN CARDOZO SCHOOL OF LAW
Brookdale Center
55 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10003

Matthew P. Bergman, Esq.
BERGMAN, SENN, PAGELER & FROCKT
17526 Vashon Highway, SW
Vashon, WA 98070

Leslie G. Fagen, Esq.
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019

Paul M. Matheny, Esq.
Bruce McElhone, Esq.
LAW OFFICES OF PETER ANGELOS
One Charles Center
100 N. Charles St.
Baltimore, MD 21201-3812

Barbara J. Stutz, Esq.
BUNDA STUTZ & DEWITT
One SeaGate
Suite 650
Toledo, OH 43604

Stephen J. Carroll
RAND Institute
1776 Main St.
P.O. Box 2138
Santa Monica, CA 90437-2138

Mark Peterson, Esq.
LEGAL ANALYSIS SYSTEMS
970 Calle Arroyo
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Francine R. Rabinovitz
HAMILTON, RABINOVITZ
& ALSCHULER, INC.
36656 Highway 1, Coast Route
Monterey, CA 93940

Elihu Inselbuch, Esq.
CAPLIN & DRYSDALE
375 Park Avenue, 35th Fl.
New York, NY 10152-3500

Francis Lawall, Esq.
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP
3000 Two Logan Square
18th & Arch Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Raji Bhagavatula
MILLIMAN USA
One Penn Plaza, 38th Fl.
New York, NY 10119

Russell Budd, Esq.
BARON & BUDD
3102 Oak Lawn Avenue
Dallas, TX 75219

Diana G. Adams, Esq.
ACTING UNITED STATES TRUSTEE
33 Whitehall St., 21st Fl.
Suite 210C
New York, NY 10004

Robert Steinberg, Esq.
ROSE, KLEIN & MARIAS
801 So. Grand Avenue, 18th Fl.
Los Angeles, CA 90017

MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST

**Special-Purpose Consolidated Financial Statements
As of September 30, 2006 and 2005**

MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST

The consolidated financial statements included herein are unaudited. In the opinion of the management of the Trust, the accompanying consolidated financial statements present fairly, subject to normal year-end adjustments, the consolidated net claimants' equity as of September 30, 2006 and 2005 and the consolidated changes in net claimants' equity and cash flows for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006 presented on the special-purpose basis of accounting described in Note 2, which accounting methods have been applied on a consistent basis.

/signed/ Mark E. Lederer
Mark E. Lederer
Chief Financial Officer

**MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF NET CLAIMANTS' EQUITY
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND 2005**

	2006	2005
ASSETS:		
Cash equivalents and investments (Note 2)		
Available-for-sale		
Restricted (Note 7)	\$54,200,000	\$51,700,000
Unrestricted	1,666,139,617	1,606,006,276
Total cash equivalents and investments	1,720,339,617	1,657,706,276
Accrued interest and dividend receivables	6,168,783	5,935,633
Deposits and other assets	600,958	734,483
Total assets	1,727,109,358	1,664,376,392
LIABILITIES:		
Accrued expenses	3,224,593	4,447,368
Deferred income taxes (Note 8)	33,298,000	20,750,000
Unpaid claims (Notes 3, 5 and Exh. III)		
Outstanding Offers	8,509,434	10,607,231
Settled, not paid	1,016,943	1,314,156
Lease commitments payable (Note 4)	3,692,544	4,169,835
Total liabilities	49,741,514	41,288,590
NET CLAIMANTS' EQUITY (Note 5)	\$1,677,367,844	\$1,623,087,802

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

**MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET CLAIMANTS' EQUITY
FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

	Three Months Ended 9/30/06	Nine Months Ended 9/30/06
NET CLAIMANTS' EQUITY, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	<u>\$1,639,545,665</u>	<u>\$1,631,697,081</u>
ADDITIONS TO NET CLAIMANTS' EQUITY:		
Investment income (Exhibit I)	59,857,415	105,418,583
Net decrease in outstanding claim offers	950,887	420,802
Decrease in lease commitments payable (Note 4)	119,323	357,968
Total additions	<u>60,927,625</u>	<u>106,197,353</u>
DEDUCTIONS FROM NET CLAIMANTS' EQUITY:		
Net operating expenses (Exhibit II)	1,413,540	4,240,815
Provision for income taxes	1,774,000	4,545,800
Change in deferred income taxes on available-for-sale investments (Note 8)	6,974,000	10,591,000
Claims settled	12,943,906	40,556,383
Contribution and indemnity claims settled	0	592,592
Total deductions	<u>23,105,446</u>	<u>60,526,590</u>
NET CLAIMANTS' EQUITY, END OF PERIOD	<u>\$1,677,367,844</u>	<u>\$1,677,367,844</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

**MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

	Three Months Ended 9/30/06	Nine Months Ended 9/30/06
CASH INFLOWS:		
Investment income receipts	11,922,126	35,683,291
Net realized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities	1,526,515	(941,559)
Total cash inflows	13,448,641	34,741,732
CASH OUTFLOWS:		
Claim payments made	13,798,149	40,741,485
Contribution and indemnity claim payments	0	592,592
Total cash claim payments	13,798,149	41,334,077
Increase in deposits and other assets	56,210	74,791
Disbursements for Trust operating expenses and income taxes paid	3,428,838	10,079,573
Total cash outflows	17,283,197	51,488,441
NET CASH OUTFLOWS	(3,834,556)	(16,746,709)
NON-CASH CHANGES:		
Net unrealized gains (losses) on available- for-sale securities	46,495,900	70,608,502
NET INCREASE IN CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE	42,661,344	53,861,793
CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	1,677,678,273	1,666,477,824
CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS AVAILABLE-FOR-SALE, END OF PERIOD	\$1,720,339,617	\$1,720,339,617

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

**MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND 2005**

(1) DESCRIPTION OF THE TRUST

The Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust (the Trust), organized pursuant to the laws of the state of New York with its office in Katonah, New York, was established pursuant to the Manville Corporation (Manville or JM) Second Amended and Restated Plan of Reorganization (the Plan). The Trust was formed to assume Manville's liabilities resulting from pending and potential litigation involving (i) individuals exposed to asbestos that have manifested asbestos-related diseases or conditions, (ii) individuals exposed to asbestos who have not yet manifested asbestos-related diseases or conditions and (iii) third-party asbestos-related claims against Manville for indemnification or contribution. Upon consummation of the Plan, the Trust assumed liability for existing and future asbestos health claims. The Trust was initially funded with cash, Manville securities and insurance settlement proceeds. Since consummation, the Trust has converted the Manville securities to cash and currently holds no Manville securities. The Trust's funding is dedicated solely to the settlement of asbestos health claims and the related costs thereto, as defined in the Plan. The Trust was consummated on November 28, 1988.

In December 1998, the Trust formed a wholly-owned corporation, the Claims Resolution Management Corporation (CRMC), to provide the Trust with claim processing and settlement services. Prior to January 1, 1999, the Trust provided its own claim processing and settlement services. CRMC began operations on January 1, 1999 in Fairfax, Virginia and subsequently relocated to Falls Church, Virginia. The accounts of the Trust and CRMC have been consolidated for financial reporting purposes. All significant transactions between the Trust and CRMC have been eliminated in consolidation.

(2) SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(a) Basis of Presentation

The Trust's financial statements are prepared using special-purpose accounting methods that differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The special-purpose accounting methods were adopted in order to communicate to the beneficiaries of the Trust the amount of equity available for payment of current and future claims. These special-purpose accounting methods are as follows:

- (1) The financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting.
- (2) The funding received from JM and its liability insurers was recorded directly to net claimants' equity. These funds do not represent income of the Trust.

Settlement offers for asbestos health claims are reported as deductions in net claimants' equity and do not represent expenses of the Trust.

- (3) Costs of non-income producing assets, which will be exhausted during the life of the Trust and are not available for satisfying claims, are expensed as they are incurred. These costs include acquisition costs of computer hardware, software, software development, office furniture and leasehold improvements.
- (4) Future fixed liabilities and contractual obligations entered into by the Trust are recorded directly against net claimants' equity. Accordingly, the future minimum rental commitments outstanding at period end for non-cancelable operating leases, net of any sublease agreements, have been recorded as deductions to net claimants' equity.
- (5) The liability for unpaid claims reflected in the consolidated statements of net claimants' equity represents settled but unpaid claims and outstanding settlement offers. Post-Class Action complaint claims' liability is recorded once a settlement offer is made to the claimant (Note 3) at the amount equal to the expected pro rata payment. No liability is recorded for future claim filings and filed claims on which no settlement offer has been made. Net claimants' equity represents funding available to pay present and future claims on which no fixed liability has been recorded.
- (6) Available-for-sale securities are recorded at market. All interest and dividend income on available-for-sale securities, net of investment expenses are included in investment income on the consolidated statements of changes in net claimants' equity. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are combined and recorded on the consolidated statements of changes in net claimants' equity.

Realized gains/losses on available-for-sale securities are recorded based on the security's original cost. At the time a security is sold, all previously recorded unrealized gains/losses are reversed and recorded net, as a component of other unrealized gains/losses in the accompanying consolidated statements of changes in net claimants' equity.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with the special-purpose accounting methods described above requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of additions and deductions to net claimants' equity during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The most significant estimates with regard to these financial statements relate to unpaid claims, as discussed in Notes 3 and 5.

Certain amounts in the 2005 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2006 presentation. These reclassifications have no effect on the previously recorded balance of Net Claimants' Equity.

(b) Cash Equivalents and Investments

At September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Trust has recorded all of its investment securities at market value, as follows:

	2006		2005	
	Cost	Market	Cost	Market
Restricted				
Cash equivalents	\$1,394,024	\$ 1,394,024	\$3,238,350	\$3,238,350
U.S. Govt. obligations	16,600,375	16,613,799	11,284,331	11,115,987
Corporate and other debt	4,340,303	4,282,809	7,254,735	7,101,002
Equities – U.S.	<u>19,461,959</u>	<u>31,909,368</u>	<u>8,925,356</u>	<u>30,244,661</u>
Total	<u>\$41,796,661</u>	<u>\$54,200,000</u>	<u>\$30,702,772</u>	<u>\$51,700,000</u>
Unrestricted				
Cash equivalents	\$50,507,800	\$50,507,800	\$44,106,028	\$44,106,028
U.S. Govt. obligations	275,913,900	273,619,767	245,979,441	241,464,914
Corporate and other debt	249,361,610	246,637,013	275,925,139	272,706,627
Equities – U.S.	799,268,302	971,357,452	842,000,337	943,734,726
Equities – International	<u>81,502,556</u>	<u>124,017,585</u>	<u>77,600,751</u>	<u>103,993,981</u>
Total	<u>\$1,456,554,168</u>	<u>\$1,666,139,617</u>	<u>\$1,485,611,696</u>	<u>\$1,606,006,276</u>

The Trust invests in two types of derivative financial instruments. Equity index futures are used as strategic substitutions to cost effectively replicate the underlying index of its domestic equity investment fund. At September 30, 2006, the fair value of these instruments was approximately \$8.4 million and was included in investments available-for-sale on the consolidated statement of net claimants' equity. Foreign currency forwards are utilized for both currency translation purposes and to economically hedge against the currency risk inherent in foreign equity issues and are generally for periods up to 90 days. At September 30, 2006, the Trust held \$61.7 million in net foreign currency forward contracts. The unrealized gain on the outstanding currency forward contracts of approximately \$0.9 million is offset by an equal unrealized loss due to currency exchange on the underlying international securities. These net amounts are recorded in the consolidated statement of net claimants' equity at September 30, 2006.

(c) **Fixed Assets**

The cost of non-income producing assets that will be exhausted during the life of the Trust and are not available for satisfying claims are expensed as incurred. Since inception, the cost of fixed assets expensed, net of disposals, include:

Acquisition of furniture and equipment	\$ 379,259
Acquisition of computer hardware and software	794,940
Computer software development (e-Claims)	2,361,065
Leasehold improvements	<u>74,891</u>
Total	<u>\$3,610,155</u>

These items have not been recorded as assets, but rather as direct deductions to net claimants' equity in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The cost of fixed assets, net of proceeds on disposals that were expensed during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 was approximately \$11,000 and \$14,200, respectively.

Total depreciation expense related to asset acquisitions using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States would have been approximately \$138,900 and \$416,800 for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

(3) UNPAID CLAIMS

The Trust distinguishes between claims that were resolved prior to the filing of the class action complaint on November 19, 1990, and claims resolved after the filing of that complaint. Claims resolved prior to the complaint (Pre-Class Action Claims) were resolved under various payment plans, all of which called for 100% payment of the full liquidated amount without interest over some period of time. However, between July 1990 and February 1995, payments on all claims except qualified exigent health and hardship claims were stayed by the courts. By court order on July 22, 1993 (which became final on January 11, 1994), a plan submitted by the Trust was approved to immediately pay, subject to claimant approval, a discounted amount on settled, but unpaid Pre-Class Action Claims, in full satisfaction of these claims. The discount amount taken, based on the claimants who accepted the Trust's discounted offer, was approximately \$135 million.

The unpaid liability for the Post-Class Action claims represents outstanding offers made in First-in, First-out (FIFO) order to claimants eligible for settlement after November 19, 1990. Under the Trust Distribution Process (TDP) (Note 5), claimants receive an initial pro rata payment equal to a percentage of the liquidated value of their claim. The Trust remains liable for the unpaid portion of the liquidated amount only to the extent that assets are available after paying all claimants the established pro rata share of their claims. The Trust makes these offers electronically for law firms that file their claims electronically (e-filers), or in the form of a check made payable to the claimant and/or claimant's counsel for claimants that file their proof of claim on paper. E-filers may accept their offers electronically and the Trust records a settled, but unpaid claim at the time of acceptance. Paper filers may accept their offer by depositing the check. An unpaid claim liability is recorded once an offer is made. The unpaid claim liability remains on the Trust's books until accepted or expiration of the offer after 360 days. Expired offers may be reinstated if the claimant accepts the original offer within two years of offer expiration.

(4) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases

In April 2003, the CRMC executed an early termination of its old lease in Fairfax Virginia and signed a new 10-year lease through September 2013 for its offices in Falls Church, Virginia. CRMC may terminate the new lease at the end of the seventh lease year (September 2010) upon proper notification and payment of certain unamortized leasing costs. The lease was executed with CRMC conditioned upon the Trust's guarantee of future lease payments.

Future minimum rental commitments under this operating lease, as of September 30, 2006, are as follows:

<u>Calendar Year</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2006	\$ 122,307
2007	492,301
2008	504,638
2009	517,198
2010	530,115
2011	543,388
2012	557,017
2013	<u>425,580</u>
	<u>\$3,692,544</u>

This obligation has been recorded as a liability in the accompanying financial statements.

(5) NET CLAIMANTS' EQUITY

A class action complaint was filed on behalf of all Trust beneficiaries on November 19, 1990, seeking to restructure the methods by which the Trust administers and pays claims. On July 25, 1994, the parties signed a Stipulation of Settlement that included a revised the TDP. The TDP prescribes certain procedures for distributing the Trust's limited assets, including pro rata payments and initial determination of claim value based on scheduled diseases and values. The Court approved the settlement in an order dated January 19, 1995. Though six appeals were filed with the Court of Appeals, no stay was granted and the Trust implemented the TDP payment procedures effective February 21, 1995. On February 21, 1996, the Court of Appeals affirmed the decision.

Prior to the commencement of the class action in 1990, the Trust filed a motion for a determination that its assets constitute a "limited fund" for purposes of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1)(B). The Courts adopted the findings of the Special Master that the Trust is a "limited fund". In part, the limited fund finding concludes that there is a substantial probability that estimated future assets of the Trust are and will be insufficient to pay in full all claims that have been and will be asserted against the Trust.

The TDP contains certain procedures for the distribution of the Trust's limited assets. Under the TDP, the Trust forecasts its anticipated annual sources and uses of cash until the last projected future claim

has been paid. A pro rata payment percentage is calculated such that the Trust will have no remaining assets or liabilities after the last future claimant receives his/her pro rata share.

Prior to implementation of the TDP, the Trust conducted its own research and monitored studies prepared by the Courts' appointee regarding the valuation of Trust assets and liabilities. Based on this valuation, the TDP provided for an initial 10% payment of the liquidated value of then current and estimated future claims (pro rata payment percentage). As required by the TDP, the Trust has periodically reviewed the values of its projected assets and liabilities to determine whether a revised pro rata payment percentage should be applied. The pro rata percentage was changed in June 2001 when the pro rata percentage was reduced from 10% to 5%. The most recent estimate began in 2005 and was concluded in March 2006. Following review and consultation with the Selected Counsel for the Beneficiaries (SCB), the Legal Representative of Future Claimants (Legal Representative) and Special Advisor to the Trust (Special Advisor), and with concurrence of the SCB and the Legal Representative, the Trust will continue to make offers and pay claims based upon a 5% pro rata payment percentage. The Trust will periodically update its estimate of the pro rata payment percentage based on updated assumptions regarding its future assets and liabilities and, if appropriate, propose changes in the pro rata payment percentage.

(6) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Trust established a tax-deferred employee savings plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, with an effective date of January 1, 1988. The plan allows employees to defer a percentage of their salaries within limits set by the Internal Revenue Code with the Trust matching contributions by employees of up to 6% of their salaries. The total employer contributions and expenses under the plan were approximately \$44,800 and \$143,100 for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006, respectively.

(7) RESTRICTED CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS

In order to avoid the high costs of director and officer liability insurance, the Trust ceased purchasing such insurance in 1991 and, with the approval of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, the Trust established a segregated security fund of \$30 million and, with the additional approval of the United States District Court for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, an additional escrow fund of \$3 million from the assets of the Trust, which are devoted exclusively to securing the obligations of the Trust to indemnify the former and current Trustees and officers, employees, agents and representatives of the Trust. Also, a \$15 million escrow and security fund was established to secure the obligations of the Trust to exclusively indemnify the current Trustees, whose access to the other security funds is subordinated to the former Trustees. Upon the final order in the Class Action litigation (Note 3), the \$15 million escrow and security fund was reduced by \$5 million. Pursuant to Section 5.07 of the Plan, Trustees are entitled to a lien on the segregated security and escrow funds to secure the payment of any amounts payable to them through such indemnification. Accordingly, in total, \$43 million has been transferred from the Trust's bank accounts to separate bank escrow accounts and pledge and security agreements have been executed perfecting those interests. The investment earnings on these escrow accounts accrue to the benefit of the Trust. Since the creation of these escrows, the Trust has saved an estimated \$40 million of insurance premiums.

Additionally, as a condition of the tax agreement between JM and the Trust discussed in Note 8, the Trust was required to transfer \$30 million in cash to an escrow account to secure the payment of its future income tax obligations post settlement of the transaction. The escrow account balance may be increased or decreased over time. As of September 30, 2006, securities with a market value of \$36.6 million were held by an escrow agent, of which \$11.2 million is reported as restricted in accordance with the tax agreement.

(8) INCOME TAXES

For Federal income tax purposes, JM had elected for the qualified assets of the Trust to be taxed as a Designated Settlement Fund (DSF). Income and expenses associated with the DSF are taxed in accordance with Section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code, which obligates JM to pay for any federal income tax liability imposed upon the DSF. In addition, pursuant to an agreement between JM and the Trust, JM is obligated to pay for any income tax liability of the Trust. In a separate agreement between the Trust and JM to facilitate the sale of JM to Berkshire Hathaway, Inc., JM paid the Trust \$90 million to settle the JM obligation to the Trust. In return, the Trust terminated JM’s contractual liability for income taxes of the DSF and agreed to indemnify JM in respect for all future income taxes of the Trust and established an escrow fund to secure such indemnification. The statutory income tax rate for the DSF is 15%. As a New York domiciled trust, the Trust is not subject to state income taxes. CRMC is subject to federal and Virginia corporate income state taxes, its state of residence.

The Trust accounts for income taxes in accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 109, “Accounting for Income Taxes.” SFAS No. 109 requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the book and tax basis of assets and liabilities. As of September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Trust has recorded a net deferred tax liability of \$33.3 million and \$20.8 million, respectively from net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities. As of September 30, 2006 and 2005, the Trust recorded net deferred tax assets of \$319,500 and \$473,100, representing temporary differences primarily due to expensing asset acquisitions for financial reporting purposes, accrued vacation and deferred compensation. The deferred tax assets are included in other assets in the accompanying consolidated statement of net claimants’ equity.

(9) PROOF OF CLAIM FORMS FILED

Proof of claim forms filed as September 30, 2006 and 2005 with the Trust are as follows:

	<u>2006</u>	<u>2005</u>
Claims filed	776,219	765,247
Withdrawn (1)	(82,691)	(73,461)
Expired offers (2)	<u>(7,973)</u>	<u>(15,478)</u>
Active claims	685,555	676,308
Settled claims	<u>(669,760)</u>	<u>(656,716)</u>
Claims currently eligible for settlement	<u><u>15,795</u></u>	<u><u>19,592</u></u>

- (1) Principally claims that have received a denial notification and the claim is in an expired status for more than two years. These claims must be refiled to receive a new offer.
- (2) Claims that received a Trust offer or denial, but failed to respond within the specified response period, usually 360 days. As of September 30, 2006 and 2005, approximately 2,800 and 6,200 respectively, of the claims with expired offers are still eligible to accept their original offer with a payment value of \$6 million and \$14 million, respectively. All claims with expired offers may also be reactivated upon written request by the claimant and be eligible for a new offer at the end of the FIFO queue.

MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST

The following exhibits are provided in accordance with Article 3.02 (d)(iii) of the Manville Personal Injury Settlement Trust Agreement.

**MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST
CONSOLIDATED INVESTMENT INCOME
FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

	<u>Three Months Ended 9/30/06</u>	<u>Nine Months Ended 9/30/06</u>
INVESTMENT INCOME		
Interest	\$ 7,284,439	\$ 21,669,427
Dividends	5,159,974	15,976,384
Total interest and dividends	<u>12,444,413</u>	<u>37,645,811</u>
Net realized gains and (losses)	1,526,516	(941,558)
Net unrealized gains and (losses)	46,495,900	70,608,502
Investment expenses	<u>(609,414)</u>	<u>(1,894,172)</u>
TOTAL INVESTMENT INCOME	<u><u>\$ 59,857,415</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 105,418,583</u></u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this exhibit.

**MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST
CONSOLIDATED NET OPERATING EXPENSES
FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

	<u>Three Months Ended 9/30/06</u>	<u>Nine Months Ended 9/30/06</u>
NET OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Personnel costs	\$ 1,037,734	\$ 2,970,865
Office general and administrative	166,500	532,218
Travel and meetings	12,355	55,331
Board of Trustees	140,998	373,112
Professional fees	93,344	510,690
Net fixed asset purchases	10,988	14,206
Web hosting and other EDP costs	73,892	223,354
Other income	(122,271)	(438,961)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
TOTAL NET OPERATING EXPENSES	\$ 1,413,540	\$ 4,240,815
	<hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this exhibit.

**MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST
SCHEDULE OF LIQUIDATED CLAIMS
SINCE CONSUMMATION (NOVEMBER 28, 1988)
THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

Exhibit III
Page 1 of 2

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Average Payment Amount</u>
<u>Trust Liquidated Claims</u>			
Pre-Class Action Complaint November 19, 1990 and Before-			
Full Liquidated Claim Value	27,590	\$1,187,852,399	
Present Value Discount (1)		<u>(135,306,535)</u>	
Net Settlements	<u>27,590</u>	<u>1,052,545,864</u>	
Payments	<u>(27,590)</u>	<u>(1,052,545,864)</u>	<u>\$38,150</u>
Unpaid Balance	<u>0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	
Post-Class Action Complaint After November 19, 1990-			
Offers Made at Full Liquidated Amount	644,588	\$29,961,978,170	
Reduction in Claim Value (2)		<u>(27,635,963,090)</u>	
Net Offer Amount	<u>644,588</u>	<u>2,326,015,080</u>	
Offers Accepted	<u>(642,170)</u>	<u>(2,317,505,646)</u>	<u>\$3,609</u>
Offers Accepted, Not Paid	<u>397</u>	<u>1,016,943</u>	
Unpaid Balance	<u>2,815</u>	<u>9,526,377</u>	
Total Trust Liquidated Claims	<u>669,760</u>	<u>3,370,051,510</u>	<u>\$5,032</u>
<u>Manville Liquidated Claims Paid (3)</u>	<u>158</u>	<u>\$24,946,620</u>	
<u>Co-Defendant Liquidated Claims (4)</u>			
Settlement Claim Value		\$89,206,750	
Investment Receipts (5)		2,624,732	
Payments, Net of Returned Settlement (6)		<u>(91,831,482)</u>	
Unpaid Balance		<u>\$0</u>	

- (1) The unpaid liability for Pre-Class Action Complaint claims has been reduced based upon a plan approved by the Courts in January, 1994 which requires the Trust to offer to pay a discounted amount in full satisfaction of the unpaid claim amount.
- (2) Under the TDP, Post Class Action Complaint claims have been reported at a pro rata percentage of their liquidated value.
- (3) Manville Liquidated Claims refers to Liquidated AH Claims (as defined in the Plan) which the Trust has paid pursuant to an order of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York dated January 27, 1987.
- (4) Number of personal injury claimants not identifiable.
- (5) Investment receipts of separate investment escrow account established for the sub-class beneficiaries per the Stipulation of Settlement, net of income taxes.
- (6) Per the terms of the MacArthur Fund Principles of the 1995 TDP, upon successful insurance litigation, the MacArthur Group returned \$10 million plus the change in value (Note 10).

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this exhibit.

**MANVILLE PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST
SCHEDULE OF LIQUIDATED CLAIMS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006**

Exhibit III
Page 2 of 2

<u>Trust Liquidated Claims</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Average Payment Amount</u>
Post-Class Action Complaint After November 19, 1990 (1)			
Offers Outstanding as of June 30, 2006	3,001	\$9,460,321	
Net Offers Made (2)	2,612	11,993,019	
Offers Accepted	<u>(3,195)</u>	<u>(12,943,906)</u>	<u>\$4,051</u>
Offers Outstanding as of September 30, 2006	2,418	8,509,434	
Offers Accepted, Not Paid as of Sept. 30, 2006	<u>397</u>	<u>1,016,943</u>	
Payable as of September 30, 2006	<u>2,815</u>	<u>\$9,526,377</u>	
 <u>Co-Defendant Liquidated Claims (3)</u>			
Payable as of June 30, 2006		\$0	
Settled		0	
Paid		<u>0</u>	
Payable as of September 30, 2006		<u>\$0</u>	

(1) Under the TDP, Post Class Action Complaint claims have been reported at a pro rata percentage of their liquidated value.

(2) Represents payment offers made during the period net of rejected and expired offers.